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Abstract

We describe a web-based corpus query system, Glossa, which combines the expressiveness of regular query languages with the user-friendliness of a graphical interface. Since corpus users are usually linguists with little interest in technical matters, we have developed a system where the user need not have any prior knowledge of the search system. Furthermore, no previous knowledge of abbreviations for metadata that are needed for querying such as part of speech and source text is needed. All searches are done using checkboxes, pull-down menus, or writing simple letters to make words or other strings. Querying for more than one word is simply done by adding an additional query box, and for parts of words by choosing a feature such as “start of word”. The Glossa system also allows a wide range of viewing and post-processing options. Collocations can be viewed and counted in a number of ways, and be viewed as different kinds of graphical charts. Further annotation and deletion of single results for further processing is also easy. The Glossa system is already in use for a number of corpora. Corpus administrators can easily adapt the system to a wide range of corpora, including multilingual corpora and corpora with audio and video content.

1. Introduction

This paper describes the corpus search interface system Glossa, a system that combines high user-friendliness with advanced search, viewing and post-processing facilities. With Glossa, a corpus administrator can make a wide range of corpora available for querying with little effort. The system is available as described in section 2. The reason for developing Glossa was the realization that if the full potential of corpus-based linguistics studies is to be achieved, corpora have to be made available for all students and researchers in language studies, not just computer experts, specialized computer linguists and corpus linguists. It is essential to create high-quality corpus interfaces. There are several corpus interfaces available, see e.g. Johannessen et al. (2000), Bick (2004), Hoffmann and Evert (2006). However, they have limitations:

- some are not network-enabled (i.e. each user has to download and manage corpora)
- some lack flexibility with regard to queries, results display and post-processing
- many are tied to a specific corpus
- few are completely GUI-driven

Typically, applications require queries to be formed as regular expressions in some formal language, such as the one defined by CWB (Corpus Workbench), see Christ (1994), Evert (2005). However, many corpus users find it difficult to learn such query languages, with their requirements for accurate use of parentheses, asterisks, percentage signs etc. Furthermore, applications often require the users to know the full tag set before querying the corpus. That is, knowledge of both tag inventory and tag names and abbreviations is necessary, as well as abbreviations of source texts, etc. Again, corpus users are often unwilling to invest the time necessary to learn such basics, before using a corpus. For many potential users, these issues act as a threshold, preventing them from making easy or efficient use of corpora tools.

We believe that an easy-to-use, flexible graphic user interface is important for maximizing the potential of corpora in research, development and teaching. Furthermore, the interface should not presuppose full-text access to the corpora, as licence conditions may prohibit free redistribution, even if they often do allow web-based querying. Glossa satisfies these criteria.

Finally, corpus applications do not usually have advanced results management as part of their standard user-interface. Glossa comes with a comprehensive array of result processing options.

2. The Glossa search interface system

The Glossa search interface system uses the IMS Corpus Workbench (Christ 1994) and the relational database MySQL as a back-ends, and has a web interface that allows users to create complex queries in very simple ways, and browse, process and download result sets. Glossa supports all types of corpora, both multilingual and multimodal, with various amounts of annotation. For parsed corpora (treebanks), the similar SearchTree (Nygaard and Johannessen 2004) system can be included. All programming code is available under an open source licence.

The Glossa system is already being used with several corpora, including the following written language corpora: the Oslo Multilingual Corpus, a parallel corpus for Norwegian, English, German, French, Dutch and Portuguese (Johansson and Hofland, 1994), corpora for North Sámi and Lule Sámi, and Danish, French and Macedonian corpora, as well as several other monolingual Norwegian corpora that are either in place, or in the process of being included under the Glossa umbrella. The system is also being used with spoken language corpora, with multimodal, transcribed audio
and video options: the UPUS corpus of multicultural Norwegian, the NoTa corpus of modern Oslo dialect, and the Taus corpus of Oslo dialects from 1970s (for the latter two, see Johannessen et al. 2006, 2007 and Johannessen and Hagen, 2007). These corpora can be accessed via the Text Laboratory’s website (see references). However, it should be noted that while access to most of them will be granted on request, several of them require special permission from their owners.

2.1 Queries
The corpus user can specify any given token by attributes, such as:

- word
- lemma
- affix or part of word
- part of speech
- morphological features
- syntactic functions
- sentence position

Notice that these search attributes are independent of each other. Importantly, it is possible to search for part of speech without specifying a search string. All searches are done using checkboxes, pull-down menus, or writing simple letters to make words or other strings. Querying for more than one word is simply done by adding an additional query box. No regular expressions are needed to perform queries.

As an example, consider how a query for a word beginning with the sequence “jump”, specified to be a noun and plural, would look like with a regular expression:

\[(word="jump\,.*\%c&(number="pl")&(pos="n"))\]

Figure 1. A regular expression in the language defined by CWB, for a plural noun starting with the letter sequence jump.

With the Glossa system, the user instead writes the word jump into a box, and chooses any appropriate additional constraints by pulling down menus, removing the need to memorize complex tag-sets. Attributes can be negated and arbitrarily combined. Two or more tokens can be combined to form a phrasal search, where the number of unspecified positions between the tokens can be specified.

In multilingual corpora, one or more search phrases can be created for the aligned corpus. The graphical interface makes it easy to create queries. See figure 3.

![The Oslo Multilingual Corpus](image)

Figure 3: A simple query for a bilingual search.

2.2 Results
The results of queries in the Glossa system are presented as traditional KWIC lists. If available, the results can also be presented as audio/video, aligned text and annotation of tokens.

![Figure 4: Part of the results window. Notice the action option on top and the clickable bibliographical information link on the left of each result.](image)

The attributes associated with the hit, but not displayed in the results, can be viewed by passing the mouse over the words, as seen in figure 5.

![Figure 5: Results window, demonstrating the mouse roll-over effect.](image)
A transparent, modular set-up has been created to allow integration of further post-processing and browsing options (collocation analysis etc.). The results can be post-processed in a number of ways, including:

- sorting on matching phrases, bibliographic information or arbitrary points in the context
- counting matched phrases
- downloading result set in various formats, e.g. tab separated values and Excel spreadsheets
- collocation analysis
- co-occurrence analysis
- user-defined annotation
- singling out individual hits or whole results file for saving or deletion
- viewing with regards to metadata distribution

Figure 6 shows a collocation table selected in the action menu on the results page. The collocations option comes with several sub-options, as provided by the Ngram Statistics Package (Pedersen 2008). The user can choose between bigrams and trigrams, and between measures such as Dice Coefficient and Log Likelihood-ration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Left context</th>
<th>Right context</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ngram rank AM occ</td>
<td>ngram rank AM occ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the **</td>
<td>1 0.4516 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>my **</td>
<td>3 0.2222 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>among **</td>
<td>5 0.0900 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a **</td>
<td>5 0.0900 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for **</td>
<td>5 0.0900 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the **</td>
<td>5 0.0900 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daughter **</td>
<td>5 0.0900 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bloodstained **</td>
<td>5 0.0900 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>little **</td>
<td>5 0.0900 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>learn **</td>
<td>5 0.0900 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6: Collocations of nouns beginning with jump-.

Bilingual query results are shown in figure 7. They are presented in the same way and have the same options as monolingual results.

Figure 7: Results from a bilingual query.

In addition to the option of deleting unwanted results, Glossa also offers the option of annotating results. These results can likewise be saved and further processed at a later stage. This can be seen in figure 9.

Finally, results can be counted in a number of ways. Our final examples illustrate results from the query "all occurrences of the Norwegian lemma bil 'car'" in the corpus. The action Count was then chosen for the resulting hits, giving as a result the number of occurrences of each inflected form of the word. (For nouns there are four inflections: singular indefinite, singular definite, plural indefinite and plural definite – bil, biler, bilet, bilene.) The results are shown as two charts in figure 10 and 11.

Figure 8: Results enriched with a box for ticking off hits that should be deleted.

Figure 9: Annotated results.

Figure 10: Count results shown as histogram.

Figure 11: Count results shown as pie chart.
2.3 Technical details
Glossa is comprised of the following components:

- A front-end PHP file.
- JavaScript files, both general and specific to the individual corpora. The JavaScript is both generated and hard coded, and is used for building the interface menu structures.
- Configuration files, declaring corpus structure, attributes, tags, metadata, etc.
- MySQL database tables containing corpus metadata, associated with the individual texts within the corpora.
- The IMS Corpus Workbench and corpus files.
- A collection of back-end CGI scripts, where most of the work is done.
- Various Perl modules used by the CGI scripts.

All components are freely available. The IMS Corpus Workbench is available for both Solaris and Linux platforms.

2.4 Sub-corpus creation
Glossa supports the creation of bibliographic databases of arbitrary complexity. This enables queries to be limited according to criteria such as register, geography, time, and author. Often, only a particular section of a corpus is of interest to a user. For instance, we have seen how users of speech corpora are often interested in specific segments of society, defined by age, sex, social background, etc. Before the inclusion of sub-corpus constraint in Glossa, such users had to specify constraints manually each time they used the interface. With varying target groups, this process was both tedious and a source of error.

2.5 Speech corpora
Glossa has been used extensively with speech corpora of transcribed audio and video material. The time codes generated during transcription allow accurate video streaming of the segments matching query hits. Figure 12 shows how the video corresponding to the query hits is viewed along with the results. Video context can be altered in the same way as the context of the hits themselves. We have chosen the QuickTime file format for streaming video content, though altering the scripts to allow for other formats would be simple. The time codes for each segment of transcribed video are stored in a database table and are accessed using the CWB indices.

3. Conclusion
The corpus query interface system Glossa is a user-friendly interface to corpora that gives the flexibility of a regular language, yet is still easy to use. The system provides advanced yet easy-to-use options both for search and for results management. The interface is also flexible with regards to annotation and licensing of corpora.
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Figure 12: Audio/video panel, used with speech corpus.
Corpora for Research on Emotions and Affect. LREC-2006. Pisa and Genova: Istituto di Linguistica Computazionale del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (ILC-CNR). s. 80-84
